ORIGINAL

FILED
SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA
COUNTY OF SAN BERNARDINO
SAN BERNARDINO DISTRICT

MAR 0 9 2022

JESSICA MORALES DEDUTA

SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA COUNTY OF SAN BERNARDINO

SCOTT FISKE, individually and on behalf of all others similarly situated,

Plaintiffs,

VS.

ROBERTSON'S TRANSPORT, LTD.; and Does 1 through 20, Inclusive,

Defendants.

Case No.: CIVDS2018316

Hon. David Cohn - Dept. S-26

[PROPOSED] ORDER GRANTING FINAL APPROVAL

[Motion, Declaration of Jonathan M. Lebe, Declaration of Scott Fiske, Declaration of Erin La Russa, Notice of Compliance with Court's Checklist, and [Proposed] Judgment Filed Herewith]

Hearing Information:

Location: Dept. S-26

Date: March 9, 2022 Time: 10:00 a.m.

23

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

24

2526

27

28

[PROPOSED] ORDER GRANTING FINAL APPROVAL

Exhibit B

[PROPOSED] ORDER GRANTING FINAL APPROVAL

The Named Plaintiff Scott Fiske ("Plaintiff"), and the settling Defendant Robertson's Transport, Ltd. ("Defendant") (together the "Parties" or "Settling Parties") have entered into the Stipulation of Settlement and Release ("Stipulation") to settle the above-captioned class action subject to the Court's approval.

A. Procedural History

On August 21, 2020, Plaintiff filed the present action in San Bernardino County Superior Court, asserting claims for: (1) Failure to Pay Minimum Wages; (2) Failure to Pay Overtime Wages; (3) Failure to Timely Pay All Earned Wages; (4) Unlawful Deduction of Wages; (5) Failure to Pay for Rest and Recovery Periods; (6) Failure to Provide Meal Periods; (7) Failure to Permit Rest Breaks; (8) Failure to Provide Accurate Itemized Wage Statements; (9) Failure to Reimburse Necessary Business Expenses; (10) Violation of Business and Professions Cal. Bus. & Prof. Code § 17200, et seq.. On December 21, 2020, Plaintiff amended his complaint to add an additional cause of action for civil penalties pursuant to the PAGA.

On April 28, 2021, after an initial exchange of information and discovery, the Parties entered into a private mediation before experienced wage and hour class action mediator Hon. Carl J. West (Ret.). At mediation, the parties engaged in an intensive discussion regarding their evaluations of the Litigation. The Parties did not settle at mediation but continued their settlement negotiations with the assistance of the mediator.

On July 21, 2021, the Parties agreed to settle the case on a class wide and PAGA basis and agreed to enter into a stipulation. On or about October 6, 2021, Plaintiff and Defendant executed the Stipulation.

B. Investigation in the Class Action

The Parties have conducted significant investigation of the facts and law during the prosecution of this Litigation. Such discovery and investigations have included the exchange of information and documents pursuant to informal discovery. Counsel for the Parties have further investigated the applicable law as applied to the facts discovered regarding the alleged claims of the Class Members and potential defenses thereto and the damages claimed.

C. Benefits of Settlement to Class Members

Plaintiff recognizes the expense and length of proceedings necessary to continue the litigation against Defendant through trial and through any possible appeals. Plaintiff has also taken into account the uncertainty and risk of the outcome of further litigation, and the difficulties and delays inherent in such litigation, including those involved in class certification. Plaintiff is also aware of the burdens of proof necessary to establish liability for the claims asserted in the Litigation, Defendant's defenses thereto, and the difficulties in establishing damages for Class Members. Plaintiff has also considered the significant settlement negotiations conducted by the Parties and the advice of the neutral mediator. Based on the foregoing, Plaintiff has determined that the terms and conditions for resolution of the Litigation, set forth in the Stipulation, are fair, adequate and reasonable, and in the best interests of all Class Members.

D. Plaintiff and the Class Members' Claims

Plaintiff and the Class Members contend that the claims, contentions, and allegations by Plaintiff, individually and on behalf of the Class Members, in the Litigation have merit and give rise to liability on the part of Defendant. Neither the Stipulation nor any documents referred to herein, or any action taken to carry out the Stipulation is, or may be construed as or may be used as, an admission by or against the Class Members or Class Counsel as to the merits or lack thereof of the Litigation.

E. <u>Defendant's Denials of Wrongdoing</u>

Defendant has denied and continues to deny each of the claims, contentions, and damages alleged by Plaintiff, individually and on behalf of the Class Members, in the Litigation. Defendant adamantly denies any wrongdoing or legal liability arising out of any of the facts or conduct alleged in the Litigation, and believes that it has valid defenses to Plaintiff and the Class Members' claims based on liability, class certification, and damages. Neither the Stipulation, nor any document referred to or contemplated herein, nor any action taken to carry out the Stipulation, may be construed as, or may be used as an admission, concession, or indication by or against Defendant of any fault, wrongdoing or liability whatsoever, including any concession that certification of a

class would be appropriate in the Litigation or any other case.

F. Operation of the Settlement.

Pursuant to the Order Granting Preliminary Approval of Class Action Settlement and Setting a Final Approval Hearing ("Preliminary Approval Order") dated November 5, 2021, this Court conditionally certified the Class and granted preliminary approval pursuant to Stipulation. The Preliminary Approval Order also approved of the proposed form of notice. The Court entered the Preliminary Approval Order after review and consideration of all of the pleadings filed and representations and arguments made in connection herewith.

In compliance with the Preliminary Approval Order, the Class Notices were sent to all Class Members via first class mail. Furthermore, follow-up mailings were performed for returned mail. The notice program was timely completed by CPT Group, Inc on December 3, 2021.

This matter is now before the Court on Plaintiff's Motion for Final Approval of the Class Action Settlement ("Motion for Final Approval"), including approval of an enhancement award for the Named Plaintiff and Class Counsel's Application for attorneys' fees and costs. The Court has read, heard, and considered all the pleadings and documents submitted, all other papers filed in the Litigation, and the representations and arguments made in connection with the Motion and Application which came on for hearing on March 9, 2022. Appearing at the hearing were the Lebe Law, APLC, as Proposed Class Counsel for the Class, and Paul Hastings LLP for Defendant.

Based on the foregoing, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED AS FOLLOWS:

1. This Court finds that the Stipulation appears to be the product of serious, informed, non-collusive negotiations, has no obvious deficiencies, and does not improperly grant preferential treatment to any individuals. The Court finds that the Stipulation was entered into in good faith pursuant to California *Code of Civil Procedure* section 877.6. The Court further finds that the Stipulation is fair, reasonable, and adequate and that the Plaintiff has satisfied the standards for final approval of a class action settlement under California law. Under the provisions of California *Code of Civil Procedure* section 382 and Federal Rule of Civil Procedure

14 15

13

16

17 18

19 20

22

23

21

24 25

26 27

28

23, as approved for use by the California state court in Vasquez v. Superior Court, 4 Cal.3d 800, 821 (1971), the trial court has discretion to certify a class where:

> [Q]uestions of law or fact common to the members of the class predominate over any questions affecting only individual members, and that a class action is superior to the available methods for fairly and efficiently adjudicating the controversy ... Fed. R. Civ. Proc. 23.

Certification of a settlement class is the appropriate judicial device under these circumstances.

- The Court, for purposes of this Order, adopts all defined terms as set forth in the Stipulation.
- The Court has jurisdiction over the subject matter of the Litigation, the Class Representative, the Class Members, and Defendant.
- The Court finds that the dissemination of the Notice of Proposed Class Action Settlement as provided for in the Order Granting Preliminary Approval, constituted the best notice practicable under the circumstances to all Persons within the definition of the Class, and fully met the requirements of California law and due process under the United States Constitution. Based on evidence and other material submitted in conjunction with the Settlement Hearing, the actual notice to the class was adequate.
- 5. The Court approves the settlement of the above-captioned action, as set forth in the Stipulation, each of the releases and other terms, as fair, just, reasonable, and adequate as to the Settling Parties and Settlement Class Members. The Parties are directed to perform in accordance with the terms set forth in the Stipulation, the Order Granting Preliminary Approval, and this Final Approval Order and Judgment.

As 6 out of 934 Class Members timely requested exclusion, all of the Released Claims of the Class Members are hereby released upon the Effective Date as to the 928 Class Members who did not timely request exclusion. If the 6 Class Members who timely requested to be excluded from the Class Action are also aggrieved employees, they are not excluded from the PAGA portion of the Settlement.

6. Except as otherwise provided in the Stipulation, the Settling Parties are to bear their

own costs and attorneys' fees.

7. Solely for purposes of effectuating this Stipulation, this Court has certified a class of all Class Members, as those terms are defined in and by the terms of the Stipulation, and the Court deems this definition sufficient for purposes of California Rules of Court 3.765(a) and 3.771. The Court hereby certifies the following Class for settlement purposes only:

All current and former non-exempt employees who held the position of Transport Driver of Defendant in California who, based on Defendant's records, were employed during the class period from April 6, 2016 through November 5, 2021.

- 8. The Court hereby confirms Jonathan M. Lebe and Annaliz Loera of Lebe Law, APLC as Class Counsel.
- 9. The Court hereby confirms the Plaintiff Scott Fiske as the Class Representatives in this Action.
- 10. With respect to the Settlement Class and for purposes of approving the settlement only and for no other purpose, this Court finds and concludes that: (a) the Members of the Settlement Class are ascertainable and so numerous that joinder of all members is impracticable; (b) there are questions of law or fact common to the Settlement Class, and there is a well-defined community of interest among Members of the Settlement Class with respect to the subject matter of the claims in the Litigation; (c) the claims of the Class Representative is typical of the claims of the Members of the Settlement Class; (d) the Class Representative has fairly and adequately protected the interests of the Members of the Settlement Class; (e) a class action is superior to other available methods for an efficient adjudication of this controversy; and (f) the counsel of record for the Class Representative, i.e., Class Counsel, are qualified to serve as counsel for Plaintiff in their individual and representative capacity and for the Class Members.
- 11. Defendant shall fund \$1,590,000 of the Total Settlement Amount pursuant to the terms of the Stipulation.
- 12. The Court approves the Individual Settlement Payments, which shall be distributed pursuant to the terms of the Stipulation of Settlement and Release.

2

13

14

15161718

202122

19

2324

25

2627

28

Defendant shall pay (a) to Class Counsel attorneys' fees in the amount of \$500,000 reimbursement of costs in the amount of \$11,101.31; (b) enhancement award to the Class Representative Scott Fisk to reimburse him for his unique services in the amount of \$7,500; (c) the sum of \$11, 250 to the Labor and Workforce Development Agency for its share of penalties under the Labor Code's Private Attorneys General Act; and (d) \$12,500 to the Settlement Administrator, CPT Group, Inc., for its fees and costs relating to the settlement administration process. All employee's share of state and federal employment and payroll taxes shall be withheld from the Individual Settlement Payments by the Settlement Administrator. The Court finds that these amounts are fair and reasonable. Defendant is directed to make such payments in accordance with the terms of the Stipulation.

14. The Court further orders, adjudges and decrees that the Class Members (other than the six Class Members who timely requested to be excluded from the Settlement) and each of them, fully and finally release and forever discharge the Class Members' Released Parties (as that term is defined in the Settlement Agreement), and each of them, of and from from any and all claims, rights, demands, liabilities of every nature and description within the scope of or arising from the allegations of the Lawsuit (and all amendments thereto), and specifically, all claims for: (a) failure to pay wages owed, including unpaid minimum wages and overtime premium pay; (b) failure to pay wages at the correct rate, including overtime pay at the correct regular rate of pay; (c) failure to provide meal and/or rest periods in accordance with applicable law, including payments at the correct rate or at all for non-compliant meal and/or rest periods and alleged non-payment of wages for meal periods worked and not taken; (d) failure to timely pay wages, both during employment and upon termination and/or resignation of employment; (e) unlawful deductions from wages; (f) failure to provide accurate itemized wage statements; (g) unfair business practices; (h) recordkeeping violations; and (i) and all other civil and statutory penalties including those recoverable under the PAGA ("Class Members' Released Claims"), arising during the period from April 6, 2016 to November 5, 2022 ("Class Members' Release Period"). The Class Members' Released Claims include without limitation claims meeting the

above definition(s) under any and all applicable statutes, including without limitation California Labor Code §§ 200, et seq., including §§ 201-204, 210, 218, 218.6, 221, 223, 226, 226.2, 226.3, 226.7 and 256 in particular; California Labor Code §§ 500, et seq., including §§ 510-512, 558, and 558.1 in particular; California Labor Code §§ 1174, 1174.5, 1175, 1182.12, 1194, 1194.2, 1197, 1197.1, 1198, and 1199; California Labor Code §§ 2800, 2802 and 2804; the California Unfair Competition Act, and in particular, California Bus. & Prof. Code §§ 17200, et seq.; California Labor Code §§ 2698, et seq.; California Civil Procedure Code § 1021.5; and any other provision of the California Labor Code or any applicable California Industrial Welfare Commission Wage Orders, in all of their iterations.

- 15. Upon the Effective Date, the action captioned as *Scott Fiske v. Robertson's Transport, Ltd.*, San Bernardino County, Case Number Case No. CIVDS2018316, shall be resolved pursuant to the terms of the Stipulation of Settlement and Release.
- 16. The Court reserves exclusive and continuing jurisdiction over the Litigation, the Class Representative, the Class Members, and Defendant for the purposes of supervising the implementation, enforcement, construction, administration, and interpretation of the Stipulation.
- 17. Pursuant to the Stipulation, Settlement Checks not cashed within 120 days after issuance will become void and the funds represented by the uncashed checks will be paid to Inclusion Matters by Shane's Inspiration, a nonprofit child advocacy organization.
- 18. The Court sets a non-appearance case review hearing regarding compliance with all fund distribution requirements under the Stipulation for 3.9.3 at 9.00, in Dept. S-26 of the above-entitled Court. A declaration from the Settlement Administrator regarding compliance shall be filed with the Court no later than five court days prior to this date. No appearance by the parties is required at the Order to Show Cause hearing if the Settlement Administrator's declaration is timely filed and the Settlement Administrator reports that all of the distributions under the Settlement are complete.
- 19. In accordance with California Rule of Court 3.771(b), the parties are ordered to give notice of this final Order and the Judgment to all Class Members by posting the Order and the

Judgment on the Settlement Administrator's website.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Date: 3/9/87

Judge David S. Cohn

ORIGINAL

FILED
SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA
COUNTY OF SAN BERNARDINO
SAN BERNARDINO DISTRICT

MAR 0 9 2022

BY JESSICA MOPALES, DEPUTY

SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA

COUNTY OF SAN BERNARDINO

SCOTT FISKE, individually and on behalf of all others similarly situated,

Plaintiffs,

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

VS.

ROBERTSON'S TRANSPORT, LTD.; and Does 1 through 20, Inclusive,

Defendants.

Case No.: CIVDS2018316

Hon. David Cohn - Dept. S-26

[PROPESSED] JUDGMENT GRANTING FINAL APPROVAL

Hearing Information:

Date: March 9, 2022 Time: 10:00 a.m. Location: Dept. S-26

[PROPOSED] JUDGMENT GRANTING FINAL APPROVAL

9

13 14 15

16

17 18

19 20

21

22

23 24

25

26

27

28

TO ALL PARTIES AND THEIR COUNSEL OF RECORD:

The Named Plaintiff Scott Fiske ("Plaintiff"), and the settling Defendant Robertson's Transport, Ltd. ("Defendant" and together the "Parties" or "Settling Parties") have entered into a Stipulation of Settlement and Release ("Stipulation"), to settle the above-captioned class action subject to the Court's approval. (See Declaration of Jonathan M. Lebe in Support of Plaintiff's Unopposed Motion for Final Approval of Class Action Settlement, Ex. 7.) Following the March 9, 2022, hearing of Plaintiff's Unopposed Motion for Final Approval of Class Action Settlement, the Court issued an Order granting Plaintiff's motion for final approval of a proposed class action settlement of the claims asserted against Defendant in this action.

JUDGMENT

The Final Approval Order is incorporated herein in its entirety.

In accordance with and for the reasons stated in the Final Approval Order, Judgment shall be entered whereby the Plaintiff and all Class Members shall take nothing from Defendant, except as expressly set forth in the Final Approval Order and in the Stipulation, filed as Exhibit 7 to the Declaration of Jonathan M. Lebe in Support of Plaintiff's Unopposed Motion for Final Approval of Class Action Settlement.

Solely for purposes of effectuating this Stipulation, this Court has certified a class of all Class Members, as those terms are defined in and by the terms of the Stipulation:

All current and former non-exempt employees who held the position of Transport Driver of Defendant in California who, based on Defendant's records, were employed during the class period from April 6, 2016 through November 5, 2021. (Stipulation at ¶ 4-6.)

Only 6 out of the 934 Class Members timely requested exclusion. All of the remaining 928 Class Members who did not timely request an exclusion are bound by the Final Approval Order and Judgment in this Action. If the 6 Class Members who timely requested to be excluded from the Class Action are also aggrieved employees, they are not excluded from the PAGA portion of the Settlement.

THE CLASS RELEASE: Upon the final approval by the Court of this Settlement and Defendant's payment of all sums due pursuant to this Settlement, and except as to such rights or

claims as may be created by this Settlement, the Class Representative, the Class, and each Class Member who has not submitted a valid and timely request for exclusion as to claims other than the PAGA claim, will release claims as follows:

- (a) Identity of Class Members' Released Parties. Defendant Robertson's Transport, Ltd. and all of its former and present parents, subsidiaries, and affiliates, and its current and former officers, directors, employees, partners, shareholders and agents, and the predecessors and successors, assigns, and legal representatives of all such entities and individuals. (Stipulation at ¶ 18.)
- (b) Date Release Becomes Active. Defendant will remit funds to cover the Total Settlement Amount and the employer's share of payroll taxes to the Settlement Administrator within twenty-one (21) calendar days after the final approval of the Settlement by the court, or if there are objectors, within five (5) business days of receiving final court approval of the Settlement and the expiration of the time to file appeals or the resolution of any appeals filed ("Effective Date"). (Stipulation at ¶ 27.)
- (c) Released Claims. As of the Effective Date, the Class Members (other than those who timely request to be excluded) will release and discharge Robertson's Transport, Ltd. and all of its former and present parents, subsidiaries, and affiliates, and its current and former officers, directors, employees, partners, shareholders and agents, and the predecessors and successors, assigns, and legal representatives of all such entities and individuals ("Class Members' Released Parties"), from any and all claims, rights, demands, liabilities of every nature and description within the scope of or arising from the allegations of the Lawsuit (and all amendments thereto), and specifically, all claims for: (a) failure to pay wages owed, including unpaid minimum wages and overtime premium pay; (b) failure to pay wages at the correct rate, including overtime pay at the correct regular rate of pay; (c) failure to provide meal and/or rest periods in accordance with applicable law, including payments at the correct rate or at all for noncompliant meal and/or rest periods and alleged non-payment of wages for meal periods worked and not taken; (d) failure to timely pay wages, both during employment and upon termination and/or resignation of employment; (e) unlawful deductions from wages; (f) failure to provide accurate itemized wage

statements; (g) unfair business practices; (h) recordkeeping violations; and (i) and all other civil and statutory penalties including those recoverable under the PAGA ("Class Members' Released Claims"), arising during the period from April 6, 2016 to the date on which the court granted preliminary approval of the Settlement on November 5, 2021 ("Class Members' Release Period"). The Class Members' Released Claims include without limitation claims meeting the above definition(s) under any and all applicable statutes, including without limitation California Labor Code §§ 200, et seq., including §§ 201-204, 210, 218, 218.6, 221, 223, 226, 226.2, 226.3, 226.7 and 256 in particular; California Labor Code §§ 500, et seq., including §§ 510-512, 558, and 558.1 in particular; California Labor Code §§ 1174, 1174.5, 1175, 1182.12, 1194, 1194.2, 1197, 1197.1, 1198, and 1199; California Labor Code §§ 2800, 2802 and 2804; the California Unfair Competition Act, and in particular, California Bus. & Prof. Code §§ 17200, et seq.; California Labor Code §§ 2698, et seq.; California Civil Procedure Code § 1021.5; and any other provision of the California Labor Code or any applicable California Industrial Welfare Commission Wage Orders, in all of their iterations. (Stipulation at ¶ 18.)

Pursuant to California Code of Civil Procedure Section 664.6 and Rule 3.769(h) of the California Rules of Court, this Court reserves exclusive and continuing jurisdiction over this Action, the Plaintiff, Settlement Class Members, and Defendant, for the purposes of:

(a) supervising the implementation, enforcement, construction, and interpretation of the Stipulation, the Preliminary Approval Order, the plan of allocation, the Final Approval Order, and the Judgment; and (b) supervising distribution of amounts paid under this Stipulation.

The Judgment set forth herein is intended to be a final disposition of the Action in its entirety and is intended to be immediately appealable.

Judge David S. Cohn